

don't believe that taking this language out is going to lead to abortion counseling. I don't believe it's going to re...lead to abortion referrals. But what it will do is try to put the state on record in as many places as possible, even when it's out of place to do so, expressing the opposition of some people to abortion counseling. That's what this language does. And every time they get a chance to do it they're going to do it. But despite my disagreement with Senator Dierks and those who agree with him on this amendment, I'll continue to work with them in trying to get some of this other...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...bad language out of the bill, because those issues are of sufficient magnitude that wherever we can obtain togetherness, cohesiveness, and I wish enough numbers to get it...the job done, we must try to do that. And the job to be done, in my opinion, is to get some of these things out of the bill that we failed to do. And since we failed to do that, I still see the bill as being unamendable. But you can show that I'm wrong by at least adopting this one. And I want to thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Well, just very briefly. As I understand the way this worked in the committee, there was an amendment to...offered to put family services into the group of services that would be provided under that section. It believe it's...I don't have it in front of me now, but there was a...I suspect...am I right, Senator Dierks, that there was a later amendment that added family services?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: I think so, but I think you ought to ask Senator Rasmussen, she was...

SENATOR ASHFORD: Okay. Well, I guess the point...my problem with it really...and Senator Chambers has brought up the issue of abortion, and I know that's probably as an undertow to this. But my concern is by putting family services in here at all is that we might be opening ourselves up to a great deal of debate on the issue of family services that would be an ongoing debate that would detract from the essential services that we are